# Credit and grade transfer policy 

FOR STUDENTS STUDYING AWAY FROM NOTTINGHAM
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## Policy overview

The full Credit and Grade Conversion policy can be found in the Quality Manual under "Studies away from the University". In particular the section "Marks and credit transfer for students on study abroad placements at educational institutions" should be read, which is located on the Partnerships and Placement page.

The Credit and Grade Transfer Oversight Committee (CGTC), a sub-committee of QSC, will annually review the operation of the credit transfer process, including the detailed scrutiny of conversion tables and reviewing reports from Exam Boards and the Global Engagement Manager on issues that arise from their application.

Marks returned from the host institution will be converted to Nottingham marks for each unit identified in the learning agreement using the grade conversion tables (approved by CGTC) by the student's home school. The mechanics of carrying out the conversions is to be devolved to School Exams Officers supported by the appropriate Assessment Team in Student Services. School Exam Boards are responsible for approving the converted marks. Where an exam board feels that application of the conversion table would produce an unfair or inappropriate outcome for an individual student, they should make a case to QSC to take a decision outside of regulations.

School Exams Officers are required to report at the end of each academic year on issues arising from the application of the policy or the conversion tables to the CGTC. This can include proposals for changes to the tables, with a supporting case, and anonymised summary information on relevant cases to QSC for decisions outside regulations.

The aim of this guide is to enable academic advisers to explain grade conversion to students participating in exchange programmes, both prior to the study period abroad, and on their return to Nottingham, and to carry out the conversion of grades from university-wide exchange partners back to Nottingham.

For each university-wide partner the guide provides details of the required credit loads for full time study; the partner's grading scale; and an approved grade conversion table.

## Credit loads

Outgoing exchange students from Nottingham are expected to take the standard credit load, equivalent to 60 credits at Nottingham, at their host university. Students must ensure they always take at least the minimum credit load at the partner university in order to register as a full-time student. In many countries taking the minimum credit load is a condition of the student visa and is not negotiable.

## Learning agreements

- All outgoing students going on outgoing study placements should complete a Learning Agreement (LA) prior to departure from Nottingham, specifying the modules that they intend to take at the partner institution and confirming which credits will be transferred back to Nottingham
- The academic adviser is responsible for ensuring that the modules selected are at the correct level, cover the appropriate topics and equate to the necessary credit load
- If the partner has compulsory courses such as languages classes then the LA must clearly state if these will count for credit and the marks be transferred.
- The Learning Agreement should be signed by the academic adviser prior to departure and then any amendments to these agreed modules must be approved and recorded on the learning agreement withing 4 weeks of the student's arrival
- The adviser should ensure these LA's are logged and recorded on the student's record
- For the University-wide exchange there are specific LA's for each institution these can be found at Learning agreements


## Reassessments and extenuating circumstances

Confirmation of the partner's appeal procedures relating to assessment are included as part of due diligence processes, prior to approving a partner for university level exchanges. Where appeal procedures are in place at the partner university, students should make an appeal with the partner initially and inform their home School at UoN of this application and progression of the appeal. Where no appeal procedure at the partner university is offered, it is the responsibility of the home School at Nottingham to consider the student's appeal against their awarded grades.

The final conversion of any grade to the Nottingham student record is undertaken by the home School with consideration of any submitted extenuating circumstances. The student's Learning Agreement should state the manner in which reassessment will be undertaken if a fail mark is received during a placement. The available options are as follows:

- The reassessment is set and marked by the institution at which the student is undertaking the placement (prior agreement will need to have been sought from the placement institution)
- The reassessment is set and marked by the student's school
- The student is required to enrol on a substitute module at the University of Nottingham in order to accrue the credits not gained during the placement. This may entail the student enrolling on extra credits during the next stage of the course in order to complete the previous stage. Alternatively, it may mean the student is unable to progress to the next stage until the requisite number of credits have been gained by taking the substitute module(s) as a part-time student


## What if a student contests a grade?

Credits and grades earned at the host institution cannot be amended by Nottingham.
Students are advised to follow the host institution's practices where a grade is contested.

## Amendments to Grade Conversion tables

Grade conversion tables will be published annually so that staff and students are able to rely upon transparent information relating to their year of study. When an amendment is made to a university grade conversion table, this will usually not apply retrospectively. However, where a student appeals at the final exam board stage, consideration may be given to any amended grade conversion tables.

## Recording of marks on Student records

The school/departmet should inform the Placements, Partnerships and Mobility team, within Registry and Academic Affairs of the allocation of credits taken by each individual student for the purposes of module registration and marks processing.

On completion of the exchange a copy of the student's formal transcript will be sent to the Study Abroad Academic Advisor in the school/department. They will then use this document to convert the students marks to Nottingham grades.

The converted marks will be recorded in a spreadsheet provided by the PPM team and then the PPM team will record these on the student record. Where possible schools/departments should arrive at a single mark for each unit of work (module equivalent) using the Nottingham credit values. However where partner credit loads do not allow this marks can be entered as a 60 credit study abroad module for each semester of exchange. The Study Abroad Academic adviser should ensure that unconverted marks are available during the exam board process, for review if required.

## Partner credit loads

Per semester

| University | Standard credit load equivalent to 60 UoN credits | Min credit load equivalent to 50 UoN credits | Max credit <br> load equivalent to 70 UoN credits | Usual number of courses | Pass mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adelaide University | 12 units | 9 units | 15 credits | 12 units | P (50\%) |
| Australian National University | 24 units | 18 units | Not permitted | 4 courses | P (50\%) |
| Deakin University | 4 credit points | 3 credit points | Not permitted | 4 credit points | P (50\%) |
| Monash University | 24 credit points | 18 credit points | Not permitted | 4 units | P (50\%) |
| University of Melbourne | 50 points | 37.5 points | Not permitted | 4 courses | P (50\%) |
| University of Newcastle | 40 units | 30 units | Not permitted | 3-4 courses | P (50\%) |
| University of New South Wales | 24 units | 24 units | Not permitted | 4 courses | P (50\%) |
| University of Queensland | 8 units | 6 units | 10 units | 4 courses | P (50\%) |
| University of Sydney | 24 credits | 18 credits | 32 credits | 4 units | P (50\%) |
| University of Technology Sydney | 24 credits | 18 credits | 30 credits | 4 units | P (50\%) |
| University of Western Australia | 24 points | 24 points | Not permitted | 4 units | P (50\%) |
| University of Wollongong | 24 credits | 18 credits | 32 credits | 3-4 courses | P (50\%) |
| New Zealand |  |  |  |  |  |
| University of Auckland | 60 points | 45 points | Must make a request | 4 courses | C- |
| University of Canterbury | 60 points | 45 points | 75 points | 3 or 4 courses | C- |
| Concordia University | 15 credits | 12 credits | 15 credits | 4 courses | D |
| Manitoba | 12 credits | 9 credits | 15 credits | 4 courses | D |
| Memorial University | 15 credits | 9 credits | Not permitted | 4 courses | D |
| McGill University | 12 credits | 12 credits | 15 credits | 4 courses | D |
| University of British Columbia | 12 credits | 9 credits | 15 credits | 4 or 5 courses | D |
| University of Toronto | 2.5 credits | 2.5 credits | 3.0 credits | 4 to 5 courses | D |
| Lehigh University | 16 credits | 12 credits | 18 credits | 4-5 courses | C- |
| Oregon State <br> University - Fall | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { courses } \\ & 12-16 \\ & \text { credits } \end{aligned}$ | 12 credits | 19 credits | 4 courses | C- |
| Oregon State University - winter \& spring | $\begin{aligned} & 6-8 \text { courses } \\ & 24-32 \\ & \text { credits } \end{aligned}$ | 24 credits | 38 credits | 3-4 courses per term |  |
| University of Arizona | 12 credits | 12 credits | 19 credits | 4 courses | C- |


| University | Standard credit load equivalent to 60 UoN credits | Min credit load equivalent to 50 UoN credits | Max credit <br> load equivalent to 70 UoN credits | Standard number of courses | Pass mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of Connecticut | 15 credits | 12 credits | 17 credits | 4 courses | C- |
| University of Maryland | 12 credits | 12 credits | 19 credits | 4 courses | C- |
| University of South Florida | 12 credits | 12 credits | 18 credits | 4 courses | C- |
| University of Texas at Austin | 15 credits | 12 credits | 17 credits | 4 courses | C- |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tecnológico de Monterrey | $18$ <br> credits/48 units | N/A | N/A | 5 courses | 70\% |
| Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (PUCC) | 40-50 credits | 40 credits | N/A | 4-5 courses | 4.0 |
| China |  |  |  |  |  |
| City University Hong Kong | 12 credits | 12 credits | 18 credits | 4 courses | P |
| University of Hong Kong | 30 credits | 24 credits | 36 credits | 4-5 courses | D |
| Japan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyoto University | 12 credits | 12 credits | 14 credits | 6 courses + Japanese language classes | D |
| Waseda University | 14 credits | 8 credits (subject courses) +6 credits (CJL - Japanese language courses) | 20 credits total <br> 14 credits - <br> subject courses <br> 7 CJL Japanese language courses | 6 courses + Japanese language classes | C |
| Singapore |  |  |  |  |  |
| National University of Singapore | 20 credits | 12 credits | 20 credits | 5 modules | D |
| Korea |  |  |  |  |  |
| Korea University | 19 credits | N/A | 19 credits | 4 courses | 60/D |
| Yonsei University | 9 credits | 9 credits | 18 credits | 4-6 courses |  |
| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amsterdam University College | 30 ECTS | 24 ECTS | N/A | 5 courses | C- |
| University of Amsterdam | 30 ECTS | 30 ECTS | 42 ECTS | 3 courses | 5.5 |
| Lund University | 30 ECTS | 30 ECTS | 45 ECTS | 4 courses | E |
| University College Dublin | 30 ECTS | 20 ECTS | 30 ECTS |  | D- |
| University of Zurich | 30 ECTS | 20 ECTS | 30 ECTS | 5-6 courses | 4 |

## AUSTRALIA

## Adelaide University

## Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High Distinction (HD) | $85-100$ | Exceptional performance indicating complete and <br> comprehensive understanding of the course matter; <br> genuine mastery of relevant skills; demonstration of an <br> extremely high level of interpretative and analytical ability <br> and intellectual initiative; and achievement of all major and <br> minor objectives of the course. |
| Distinction (D) | $75-84$ | Excellent performance indicating a very high level of <br> understanding of the course matter; development of <br> relevant skills to a very high level; demonstration of a very <br> high level of interpretive and analytical ability and <br> intellectual initiative; and achievement of all major and <br> minor objectives of the course. |
| Credit (C) | $65-74$ | Good performance indicating a high level of understanding <br> of course matter; development of relevant skills to a high <br> level; demonstration of a high level of interpretive and <br> analytical ability and achievement of all major objectives of <br> the course; some minor objectives not fully achieved. |
| Pass (P) | $50-64$ | Satisfactory performance indicating, in comparison with all <br> other students enrolled in the term, an adequate <br> understanding of most of the basic course matter; partial <br> development of relevant skills; adequate interpretive and <br> analytical ability and achievement of all major objectives of <br> the course; failure to achieve some minor objectives. |
| Fail (F) | $0-49$ | Unsatisfactory performance indicating an inadequate <br> understanding of the subject matter, failure to develop <br> relevant skills; insufficient evidence or interpretative and <br> analytical ability; and failure to achieve major and minor <br> objectives of the subject. |

Full grade scale information on Adelaide website.

## Grade conversion table

| Adelaide |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $75-84$ | $65-74$ | 1 st $/ 2: 1$ |
| Credit | $65-74$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Australian National University

## Grading Scale

| Grade | Letter | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High distinction | HD | $80-100$ |
| Distinction | D | $70-79$ |
| Credit | CR | $60-69$ |
| Pass | P | $50-59$ |
| Fail | N | $<50$ |

Full grading scale information on ANU website

Grade conversion table

| ANU |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $80-100$ | $70+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $70-79$ | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| Credit | $60-69$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-59$ | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| Fail | $<50$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Deakin University

## Grading scale

| Grade | Letter | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High distinction | HD | 80 and over |
| Distinction | D | $70-79$ |
| Credit | CR | $60-69$ |
| Pass | P | $50-59$ |
| Fail | N | $<50$ |

Full grading scale information on Deakin website.

Grade conversion table

| Deakin |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | 80 and over | $70+$ | 1st |
| Distinction | $70-79$ | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| Credit | $60-69$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-59$ | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| Fail | $<50$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Monash University

## Grading scale

| Grade | Letter | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High distinction | HD | $80-100$ |
| Distinction | D | $70-79$ |
| Credit | CR | $60-69$ |
| Pass | P | $50-59$ |
| Fail | N | $<50$ |

Full grading scale information on Monash website

Grade conversion table

| Monash |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $80-100$ | $70+$ | 1st |
| Distinction | $70-79$ | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| Credit | $60-69$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-59$ | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| Fail | $<50$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

University of Melbourne

Grading scale

| Grade | Letter | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First class honours | H1 | $80-100$ |
| Second class honours Division A | H2A | $75-79$ |
| Second class honours Division B | H2B | $70-74$ |
| Third class honours | H3 | $65-69$ |
| Pass | P | $50-64$ |
| Fail | N | $0-49$ |

Full grading scale information on Melbourne's website.

Grade conversion table

| Melbourne |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| H1 | $80-100$ | $70+$ | 1 st |
| H2A | $75-79$ | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| H2B | $70-74$ | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| H3 | $65-69$ | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## University of Newcastle

## Grading scale

\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Grade } & \text { Percentage } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Description } \\
\hline \text { High Distinction (HD) } \\
85+ \\
\text { Outstanding standard indicating comprehensive } \\
\text { knowledge and understanding of the relevant } \\
\text { materials; demonstration of an outstanding level of } \\
\text { academic ability; mastery of skills; and achievement of } \\
\text { all assessment objectives. }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Distinction (D) } & 75-84 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Excellent standard indicating a very high level of } \\
\text { knowledge and understanding of the relevant } \\
\text { materials; demonstration of a very high level of } \\
\text { academic ability; sound development of skills; and } \\
\text { achievement of all assessment objectives. }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Credit (C) } & \text { Very Good standard indicating a high level of } \\
\text { knowledge and understanding of the relevant } \\
\text { materials; demonstration of a high level of academic } \\
\text { ability; reasonable development of skills; and } \\
\text { achievement of all assessment objectives. }\end{array}
$$\right\} \begin{array}{l}Pass (P) <br>
\hline Ungraded pass <br>
knowledgery standard indicating an adequate <br>
materials; demonstrationding of the relevant adequate level of <br>
academic ability; satisfactory development of skills; <br>

and achievement of most assessment objectives.\end{array}\right\}\)| A grade awarded in a course for which only a pass or |
| :--- |
| fail is available. |

Full grading scale information on Newcastle's website.

## Grade conversion table

| Newcastle |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $75-84$ | $65-74$ | 1 st/2:1 |
| Credit | $65-74$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

University of New South Wales

Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High Distinction (HD) | $85-100$ | An outstanding performance |
| Distinction (DN) | $75-84$ | A superior performance |
| Credit (CR) | $65-74$ | A good performance |
| Pass (PS) | $50-64$ | An acceptable level of performance |
| Fail (FL) | $0-49$ | Below an acceptable standard |

Full grading information available on UNSW website.

Grade conversion table

| UNSW |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $75-84$ | $65-74$ | 1 st/2:1 |
| Credit | $65-74$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## University of Queensland

## Grading scale

| Grade | Number | Percentage | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Distinction | 7 | 85-100 | As for 6 , with consistent evidence of substantial originality and insight in identifying, generating and communicating competing arguments, perspectives or problem solving approaches; critically evaluates problems, their solutions and implications. |
| Distinction | 6 | 75-84.99 | As for 5 , with frequent evidence of originality in defining and analysing issues or problems and in creating solutions; uses a level, style and means of communication appropriate to the discipline and the audience. |
| Credit | 5 | 65-74.99 | Demonstrates substantial understanding of fundamental concepts of the field of study and ability to apply these concepts in a variety of contexts; develops or adapts convincing arguments and provides coherent justification; communicates information and ideas clearly and fluently in terms of the conventions of the discipline. |
| Pass | 4 | 50-64.99 | Demonstrates adequate understanding and application of the fundamental concepts of the field of study; develops routine arguments or decisions and provides acceptable justification; communicates information and ideas adequately in terms of the conventions of the discipline. |
| Fail | 3 | 45-49.99 | Demonstrates superficial or partial or faulty understanding of the fundamental concepts of the field of study and limited ability to apply these concepts; presents undeveloped or inappropriate or unsupported arguments; communicates information or ideas with lack of clarity and inconsistent adherence to the conventions of the discipline. |
| Fail | 2 | 25-44.99 | Demonstrates clear deficiencies in understanding and applying fundamental concepts; communicates information or ideas in ways that are frequently incomplete or confusing and give little attention to the conventions of the discipline. |
| Fail | 1 | 1-24.99 | Fails to demonstrate most or all of the basic requirements of the course. |

Full grading information found on UQ website.
Grade conversion

| UQ |  |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Grade | Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | 7 | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | 6 | $75-84.99$ | $65-74$ | $1 \mathrm{st} / 2: 1$ |
| Credit | 5 | $65-74.99$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | 4 | $50-64.99$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | 3 | $45-49.99$ | $25-39$ | Fail |
| Fail | 2 | $25-44.99$ | $1-24$ | Fail |
| Fail | 1 | $1-24.99$ | 0 | Fail |

## University of Sydney

## Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage | Description | Proportion achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Distinction | 85-100 | Awarded when you demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an exceptional standard, as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars outlined by your faculty or school. | $4 \%$ of student pop |
| Distinction | 75-84 | Awarded when you demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at a very high standard, as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars outlined by your faculty or school. | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \text { of } \\ & \text { student pop } \end{aligned}$ |
| Credit | 65-74 | Awarded when you demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at a good standard, as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars outlined by your faculty or school. | $50 \%$ student pop |
| Pass | 50-64 | Awarded when you demonstrate the learning outcomes for the unit at an acceptable standard, as defined by grade descriptors or exemplars outlined by your faculty or school. |  |
| Fail | 0-49 | When you don't meet the learning outcomes of the unit to a satisfactory standard. |  |
| AF | Absent Fail |  |  |

Full grading information on Sydney's website.

## Grade conversion

| USY |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1st |
| Distinction | $75-84$ | $65-74$ | 1 st/2:1 |
| Credit | $65-74$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

University of Technology Sydney
Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High Distinction (H) | $85-100$ | Work of outstanding quality on all objectives of the subject |
| Distinction (D) | $75-84$ | Work of superior quality on all objectives of the subject <br> Credit (C) |
| Wass (P) | $55-74$ | Work of good quality showing more than satisfactory <br> achievement on all objectives of the subject. |
| Fail (Z) | Work showing a satisfactory achievement on all objectives <br> of the subject <br> Work showing an unsatisfactory achievement on one or <br> more objectives of the subject. |  |

Full grading information on UTS website.

Grade conversion

| UTS |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $75-84$ | $65-74$ | 1 st/2:1 |
| Credit | $65-74$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

University of Western Australia

## Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage | GPA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Higher Distinction <br> (HD) | $80-100$ | 7 |
| Distinction (D) | $70-79$ | 6 |
| Credit Pass (CR) | $60-69$ | 5 |
| Pass (P) | $50-59$ | 4 |
| Fail (N+) | $45-49$ | N/A |
| Fail (N) | $0-44$ | N/A |

Full grading information on UWA website.

Grade conversion

| UWA |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| Higher <br> distinction | $80-100$ | $70+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $70-79$ | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| Credit Pass | $60-69$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-59$ | $40-49$ | 3 rd |
| Fail $(\mathrm{N}+)$ | $45-49$ | $35-39$ | Fail |
| Fail $(\mathrm{N})$ | $0-44$ | $0-34$ | Fail |

## University of Wollongong

Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High Distinction (HD) | $85-100$ |  |
| Distinction (D) | $75-84$ |  |
| Credit (C) | $65-74$ |  |
| Pass (P) | $50-64$ |  |
| Fail (F) | N/A | Implemented 2012; identifies students who <br> have successfully completed a supplementary <br> assessment |
| Pass supplementary (PS) | N/A | Implemented 2012; identifies students sitting a <br> deferred exam (conducted by SSD) as a result <br> of an academic consideration application |
| Withheld supplementary <br> exam (WD) | Implemented 2012; identifies students offered <br> a supplementary end of session exam <br> (conducted by SSD) as a result of a final mark <br> achieved. |  |
| Withheld supplementary <br> assessment (WS) |  |  |

Full grading information on UoW website.

Grade conversion

| UoW |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Mark | Percentage | Classification |
| High distinction | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| Distinction | $75-84$ | $65-74$ | 1 st/2:1 |
| Credit | $65-74$ | $55-64$ | $2: 1 / 2: 2$ |
| Pass | $50-64$ | $40-54$ | $2: 2 / 3$ rd |
| Fail | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## New Zealand

## University of Auckland

## Grading scale

| Name | Letter | Percentage | GPA | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High first | A+ | 90-100 | 9 | Work of high to exceptionally high quality showing excellent knowledge and understanding of subject matter and appreciation of issues; well formulated arguments based on strong and sustained evidence; maps and diagrams, graphs and tables, etc included where appropriate; relevant literature referenced; high level of creative ability, originality and critical thinking; excellent communication and presentation skills. |
| Clear first | A | 85-89 | 8 |  |
| Bare first | A- | 80-84 | 7 |  |
| High second | B+ | 75-79 | 6 | Work showing good to strong grasp of subject matter and understanding of major issues though not necessarily of the finer points; arguments clearly developed and based on convincing evidence; relevant literature referenced; evidence of creative ability, originality and critical thinking; good communication and presentation skills. |
| Clear second | B | 70-74 | 5 |  |
| Bare second | B- | 65-69 | 4 |  |
| Sound pass | C+ | 60-64 | 3 | Work showing a knowledge of subject matter and appreciation of main issues though possibly with some lapses and inadequacies; arguments developed and supported by some evidence and references; creative ability, originality and critical thinking present but limited; adequate communication and presentation skills. |
| Pass | C | 55-59 | 2 |  |
| Marginal pass | C- | 50-54 | 1 |  |
| Marginal fail | D+ | 45-49 | 0 | Work lacking breadth and depth. Work generally has gaps. Frequently work of this grade takes a simple factual approach and understanding and coverage of material is inadequate; does not attempt to interpret the material; at the lower end, indicates a need for considerable effort to achieve improvement; communication and presentation skills are poor. |
| Clear fail | D | 40-44 | 0 |  |
| Poor fail | D- | 0-39 | 0 | Highly unsatisfactory. Work shows a lack of knowledge about and understanding of the topic. Inadequate in degree of relevance, sometimes completeness, sometimes both. Communication and presentation skills are weak. |

Full grading information on Auckland's website.

Grade conversion

| Auckland |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | $90-100$ | $80+$ | 1 st |
| A | $85-89$ | $75-79$ | 1 st |
| A- | $80-84$ | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | $75-79$ | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | $70-74$ | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | $65-69$ | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | $60-64$ | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | $55-59$ | $45-49$ | 3rd |
| C- | $50-54$ | $40-44$ | $3 r d$ |
| D+ | $45-49$ | $35-39$ | Fail |
| D | $40-44$ | $30-34$ | Fail |
| D- | $0-39$ | $0-29$ | Fail |

University of Canterbury

## Grading scale

| Letter | Percentage | GPA | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | 90-100 | 9 | Passing grades |
| A | 85-89.99 | 8 |  |
| A- | 80-84.99 | 7 |  |
| B+ | 75-79.99 | 6 |  |
| B | 70-74.99 | 5 |  |
| B- | 65-69.99 | 4 |  |
| C+ | 60-64.99 | 3 |  |
| C | 55-59.99 | 2 |  |
| C- | 50-54.99 | 1 |  |
| D | 40-49.99 | 0 | Fail |
| E | 0-39.99 | -1 | Fail |

Full grading information on Canterbury website.

Grade conversion

| Canterbury |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | $90-100$ | $80+$ | 1 st |
| A | $85-89.99$ | $75-79$ | 1 st |
| A- | $80-84.99$ | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | $75-79.99$ | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | $70-74.99$ | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | $65-69.99$ | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | $60-64.99$ | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | $55-59.99$ | $45-49$ | 3 3rd |
| C- | $50-54.99$ | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| D | $40-49.99$ | $30-39$ | Fail |
| E | $0-39.99$ | $0-29$ | Fail |

## Canada

Concordia University

Grading scale

Letter GPA Description

| A+ | 4.3 | Outstanding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4.0 |  |
| A- | 3.7 |  |
| B+ | 3.3 | Very good |
| B | 3.0 |  |
| B- | 2.7 |  |
| C+ | 2.3 | Satisfactory |
| C | 2.0 |  |
| C- | 1.7 |  |
| D+ | 1.3 | Marginal pass |
| D | 1.0 |  |
| D- | 0.7 |  |
| F | 0.0 | Poor - Fail |

All faculties at Concordia use the GPA and letter system however some also employ a percentage grade. Percentages do not match across all faculties that employ them, therefore individual Department/Faculties own grading scales should be examined. Links to departments with differing grading scales can be found below.

## Department of Mathematics and Statistics Grading scale.

Department of Art History Grading scale
Department of Political Science Grading scale
Department of Classics, Modern Languages and Linguistics Grading scale
Department of Theological studies Grading scale

Grade conversion

| Concordia |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | 4.3 | $80+$ | 1 st |
| A | 4.0 | $75-79$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $67-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $63-66$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $60-62$ | $2: 1$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $57-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $53-56$ | $2: 2$ |
| C- | 1.7 | $50-52$ | $2: 2$ |
| D+ | 1.3 | $47-49$ | 3rd |
| D | 1.0 | $40-46$ | 3rd |
| D- | 0.7 | $35-39$ | Fail |
| F | 0.0 | $0-34$ | Fail |

## Manitoba University

## Grading Scale

## Letter GPA Description

| A+ | 4.5 | Exceptional |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4.0 | Excellent |
| B+ | 3.5 | Very good |
| B | 3.0 | Good |
| C+ | 2.5 | Satisfactory |
| C | 2.0 | Adequate |
| D | 1.0 | Marginal |
| F | 0.0 | Poor - Fail |

Final grades in most courses are expressed as letters, ranging from D , the lowest passing grade, to A+, the highest. Each letter grade has an assigned numerical value that is used to calculate grade point averages.

Some courses are graded on a pass/fail basis and because no numerical value is assigned to these courses, they do not affect grade point averages. Courses graded in this way are clearly identified in course descriptions and course outline.

Grade conversion

| Manitoba |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | 4.5 | $80+$ | 1 st |
| A | 4.0 | $70-79$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.5 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| C+ | 2.5 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| D | 1.0 | $40-49$ | 3 rd |
| F | 0.0 | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Memorial University

## Grading scale

| Letter | Percentage | Points per credit hour | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 80-100 | 4 | Indicates excellent performance with clear evidence of: <br> - comprehensive knowledge of the subject matter and principles treated in the course <br> - a high degree of originality and independence of thought <br> - a superior ability to organize and analyze ideas <br> - an outstanding ability to communicate. |
| B | 65-79 | 3 | Indicates good performance with evidence of: <br> - substantial knowledge of the subject matter <br> - a moderate degree of originality and independence of thought <br> - a good ability to organize and analyze ideas <br> - an ability to communicate clearly and fluently |
| C | 55-64 | 2 | Indicates satisfactory performance with evidence of: <br> - an acceptable grasp of the subject matter <br> - some ability to organize and analyze ideas <br> - an ability to communicate adequately |
| D | 50-54 | 1 | Indicates minimally acceptable performance with evidence of: <br> - rudimentary knowledge of the subject matter <br> - some evidence that organizational and analytical skills have been developed, but with significant weaknesses in some areas <br> - a significant weakness in the ability to communicate. |
| F | Below 50 | 0 | Indicates failing performance with evidence of: <br> - an inadequate knowledge of the subject matter <br> - failure to complete required work <br> - an inability to organize and analyze ideas <br> - an inability to communicate. |

Full grading information on Memorial's website.

## Grade conversion

| Memorial |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| A | $80-100$ | $70+$ | 1 1st |
| B | $65-79$ | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| C | $55-64$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| D | $50-54$ | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| F (Fail) | 49 and <br> below | $0-39$ | Fail |

## McGill University

## Grading scale

| Letter | Percentage | GPA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $85-100$ | 4.0 |
| A- | $80-84$ | 3.7 |
| B+ | $75-79$ | 3.3 |
| B | $70-74$ | 3.0 |
| B- | $65-69$ | 2.7 |
| C+ | $60-64$ | 2.3 |
| C | $55-59$ | 2.0 |
| D | $50-54$ | 1.0 |
| E | $0-49$ | 0 |

The Faculty of Engineering does not use this numerical scale. Information on their alterative grading scale can be seen on McGill's website.

Full grading information on McGill's website.

Grade conversion

| McGill |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| A | $85-100$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| A- | $80-84$ | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | $75-79$ | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | $70-74$ | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | $65-69$ | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | $60-64$ | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | $55-59$ | $45-49$ | 3 rd |
| D | $50-54$ | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| F (Fail) | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

University of British Columbia
Grading scale

| Letter | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- |
| A+ | $90-100$ |
| A | $85-89$ |
| A- | $80-84$ |
| B+ | $76-79$ |
| B | $72-75$ |
| B- | $68-71$ |
| C+ | $64-67$ |
| C | $60-63$ |
| C- | $55-59$ |
| D | $50-54$ |
| F | $0-49$ |

Full grading information on UBC website.

Grade conversion

| UBC |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | $90-100$ | $80+$ | 1st |
| A | $85-89$ | $75-79$ | 1 st |
| A- | $80-84$ | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | $75-79$ | $66-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | $72-75$ | $63-65$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | $68-71$ | $60-62$ | $2: 1$ |
| C+ | $64-67$ | $54-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | $60-63$ | $50-53$ | $2: 2$ |
| C- | $55-59$ | $45-49$ | 3rd |
| D | $50-54$ | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| F (Fail) | $0-49$ | $0-39$ | Fail |

## University of Toronto

## Grading scale

| Letter | Percentage | GPA | Definition | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | 90-100 | 4.0 | Excellent | Strong evidence of original thinking; good organization; capacity to analyse and synthesize; superior grasp of subject matter with sound critical evaluations; evidence of extensive knowledge base. |
| A | 85-89 | 4.0 |  |  |
| A- | 80-84 | 3.7 |  |  |
| B+ | 77-79 | 3.3 | Good | Evidence of grasp of subject matter, some evidence of critical capacity and analytic ability; reasonable understanding of relevant issues; evidence of familiarity with literature |
| B | 73-76 | 3.0 |  |  |
| B- | 70-72 | 2.7 |  |  |
| C+ | 67-69 | 2.3 | Adequate | Student who is profiting from the university experience; understanding of the subject matter and ability to develop solutions to simple problems in the material. |
| C | 63-66 | 2.0 |  |  |
| C- | 60-62 | 1.7 |  |  |
| D+ | 57-59 | 1.3 | Marginal | Some evidence of familiarity with the subject matter and some evidence that critical and analytic skills have been developed. |
| D | 53-56 | 1.0 |  |  |
| D- | 50-52 | 0.7 |  |  |
| F | 0-49 | 0.0 | Inadequate | Little evidence of even superficial understanding of subject matter; weakness in critical and analytic skills; limited or irrelevant use of literature. |

Full grading information for the Faculty of Arts and Sciences on Toronto website.

Grade conversion

| Toronto |  |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | Percentage | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | $90-100$ | 4.0 | $80+$ | 1 st |
| A | $85-89$ | 4.0 | $75-79$ | 1 st |
| A- | $80-84$ | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | $77-79$ | 3.3 | $67-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | $73-76$ | 3.0 | $63-66$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | $70-72$ | 2.7 | $60-62$ | $2: 1$ |
| C+ | $67-69$ | 2.3 | $57-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | $63-66$ | 2.0 | $53-56$ | $2: 2$ |
| C- | $60-62$ | 1.7 | $50-52$ | $2: 2$ |
| D+ | $57-59$ | 1.3 | $47-49$ | 3 3rd |
| D | $53-56$ | 1.0 | $40-46$ | 3rd |
| D- | $50-52$ | 0.7 | $35-39$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | $0-49$ | 0.0 | $0-34$ | Fail |

## USA

## Lehigh University

Grading scale

| Letter | GPA | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4.0 | Excellent |
| A- | 3.7 | Excellent |
| B+ | 3.3 | Good |
| B | 3.0 | Good |
| B- | 2.7 | Good |
| C+ | 2.3 | Competent |
| C | 2.0 | Competent |
| C- | 1.7 | continuation competency (the student has achieved the level of <br> proficiency needed for the course to satisfy prerequisite requirements) <br> passing, but performance is not adequate to take any subsequent course <br> which has this course as a prerequisite |
| D+ | 1.3 | passing, but performance is not adequate to take any subsequent course <br> which has this course as a prerequisite |
| D | 1.0 | passing, but performance is not adequate to take any subsequent course <br> which has this course as a prerequisite |
| D- | 0.7 | Fail |
| F | 0.0 | pass-fail grading with a grade equivalent to D- or higher |
| P |  |  |

Courses in which grades of $\mathrm{D}+, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D}$ - and F are recorded do not meet prerequisite requirements. The student may request to waive a prerequisite. Upon presentation of evidence of substantially equivalent preparation, and with the approval of the instructor of the course, the teaching department chairperson and the chairperson of the student's major department or program if applicable, the prerequisite may be waived.

Ful grading information on Lehigh website.

## Grade conversion

| Lehigh |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A | 4.0 | $75+$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $45-49$ | 3 rd |
| C- | 1.7 | $40-44$ | 3 rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | $37-39$ | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | $34-36$ | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

## Oregon State University

OSU operate a 3 term structure, which means student studying for the spring semester will do 2 terms, winter and spring. Students must take at least the minimum credit load of 12 credits per term for spring but only 15 should be transferred back to Nottingham for credit. It is up to the student and adviser to discuss and confirm on the LA before departure which will count in which term.

Grading scale

## Letter GPA Description

| A | 4.0 | Exceptional accomplishment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A- | 3.7 |  |
| B+ | 3.3 | Superior |
| B | 3.0 |  |
| B- | 2.7 |  |
| C+ | 2.3 | Average |
| C | 2.0 |  |
| C- | 1.7 |  |
| D+ | 1.3 | Inferior |
| D | 1.0 |  |
| D- | 0.7 |  |
| F | 0.0 | Failure |

Full grading information on OSU website.

## Grade Conversion

| OSU |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A | 4.0 | $75+$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $45-49$ | 3 rd |
| C- | 1.7 | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | $37-39$ | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | $34-36$ | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

University of Arizona

## Grading scale

| Letter | GPA | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 | Excellent |
| A- | 3.667 |  |
| B+ | 3.333 | Good |
| B | 3.0 |  |
| B- | 2.667 |  |
| C+ | 2.333 | Satisfactory |
| C | 2.0 |  |
| C- | 1.667 |  |
| D+ | 1.333 | Poor |
| D | 1.0 |  |
| D- | 0.667 |  |
| E | 0 | Failure |

Full grading information on Arizona website.

Grade conversion

| Arizona |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A | 4.0 | $75+$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $45-49$ | 3 rd |
| C- | 1.7 | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | $37-39$ | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | $34-36$ | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

University of Connecticut

## Grading scale

| Letter | GPA | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 | Excellent |
| A- | 3.7 |  |
| B+ | 3.3 | Very good |
| B | 3.0 | Good |
| B- | 2.7 |  |
| C+ | 2.3 |  |
| C | 2.0 | Average |
| C- | 1.7 |  |
| D+ | 1.3 | Poor |
| D | 1.0 |  |
| D- | 0.7 | Merely passing |
| E | 0 | Failure |

Full grading information on UConn website.

Grade conversion

| UConn |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A | 4.0 | $75+$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $45-49$ | 3 rd |
| C- | 1.7 | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | $37-39$ | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | $34-36$ | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

## University of Maryland

Grading scale

| Letter | GPA | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | 4.0 | Denotes excellent mastery of the subject and outstanding scholarship |
| A | 4.0 |  |
| A- | 3.7 |  |
| B+ | 3.3 | Denotes good mastery of the subject and good scholarship |
| B | 3.0 |  |
| B- | 2.7 |  |
| C+ | 2.3 | Denotes acceptable mastery of the subject |
| C | 2.0 |  |
| C- | 1.7 |  |
| D+ | 1.3 | Denotes borderline understanding of the subject, marginal performance and it does not represent satisfactory progress toward a degree |
| D | 1.0 |  |
| D- | 0.7 |  |
| E | 0 | Denotes failure to understand the subject and unsatisfactory performance |

Full grading information on Maryland website.

Grade conversion

| Maryland |  | Nottingham |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A | 4.0 | 75+ | 1st |
| A- | 3.7 | 70-74 | 1st |
| B+ | 3.3 | 65-69 | 2:1 |
| B | 3.0 | 60-64 | 2:1 |
| B- | 2.7 | 55-59 | 2:2 |
| C+ | 2.3 | 50-54 | 2:2 |
| C | 2.0 | 45-49 | 3rd |
| C- | 1.7 | 40-44 | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | 37-39 | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | 34-36 | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

University of South Florida

Grading scale

| Letter | GPA | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A+ | 4.0 | Excellent performance |
| A | 4.0 |  |
| A- | 3.67 |  |
| B+ | 3.33 | Good performance |
| B | 3.0 |  |
| B- | 2.67 |  |
| C+ | 2.33 |  |
| C | 2.0 | Average performance |
| C- | 1.67 |  |
| D+ | 1.33 |  |
| D | 1.0 | Poor performance |
| D- | 0.67 |  |
| E | 0 | Failure |

Full grading information on USF website and the USF catalogue.

## Grade conversion

| USF |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A and A+ | 4.0 | $75+$ | 1st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $45-49$ | 3rd |
| C- | 1.7 | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | $37-39$ | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | $34-36$ | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

University of Texas at Austin

Grading scale

| Letter | GPA |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 4.0 |
| A- | 3.67 |
| B+ | 3.33 |
| B | 3.0 |
| B- | 2.67 |
| C+ | 2.33 |
| C | 2.0 |
| C- | 1.67 |
| D+ | 1.33 |
| D | 1.0 |
| D- | 0.67 |
| E | 0 |

Full grading information on Texas website.

Grade conversion

| Texas |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A | 4.0 | $75+$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $45-49$ | $3 r d$ |
| C- | 1.7 | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | $37-39$ | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | $34-36$ | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | $34<$ | Fail |
| F (Fail) | 0.0 | 0 | Fail |

## Latin America

Tecnológico de Monterrey

## Grading scale

| Percentage | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100 | Excellent |
| $90-99$ | Very good |
| $80-89$ | Good |
| $70-79$ | Average |
| Less than 70 | Failing |

Further grading information on Tec's website.

Grade conversion

| Tec | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage | Percentage | Classification |
| 100 | $75+$ | 1 st |
| $90-99$ | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| $85-89$ | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| $80-84$ | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| $75-79$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| $70-74$ | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| Less than 70 | $0-39$ | Fail |

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Grading scale

| GPA | USA Grade | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7.00-6.75$ | A+ | Excellent |
| $6.74-6.25$ | A |  |
| $6.24-6.00$ | A- |  |
| $5.99-5.75$ | B+ | Very good |
| $5.74-5.25$ | B |  |
| $5.24-5.00$ | B- |  |
| $4.99-4.75$ | C+ | Good |
| $4.74-4.25$ | C | Satisfactory |
| $4.24-4.00$ | C- | Sufficient |
| $3.99-1.00$ | F | Fail |

Grade conversion

| PUC | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| $7.00-6.75$ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| $6.74-6.25$ | $70+$ | 1 st |
| $6.24-6.00$ | $68-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| $5.99-5.75$ | $63-67$ | $2: 1$ |
| $5.74-5.25$ | $60-62$ | $2: 1$ |
| $5.24-5.00$ | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| $4.99-4.75$ | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| $4.74-4.25$ | $45-49$ | 3rd |
| $4.24-4.00$ | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| $3.99-1.00$ | $0-29$ | Fail |

## Hong Kong

## City University Hong Kong

## Grading scale



Full grading information on City U website.

Grade conversion

| City U |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| $3.7-4.3$ | A,+ A, A- | $70+$ | st |
| $2.7-3.6$ | B,+ B, B- | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| $1.7-2.6$ | C,+ C,$~ C-$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| $1.0-1.6$ | D | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| $0.00-1.70$ | F | $0-39$ | Fail |

## The University of Hong Kong

## Grading scale

| GPA | Grade | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.0 | A+ | Excellent |
| 4.0 | A |  |
| 3.7 | A- |  |
| 3.3 | B+ |  |
| 3.0 | B |  |
| 2.7 | B- |  |
| 2.3 | C+ | Satisfactory |
| 2.0 | C |  |
| 1.7 | C- |  |
| 1.3 | D+ | Pass |
| 1.0 | D |  |
| 0 | F | Fail |

## The bell curve

HKU is similar to NUS in that they may make use of the bell curve: a statistical method of assigning grades designed to yield a pre-determined distribution of grades among the students in a class, whereby only a certain number of students in each class can receive each grade.

From a Nottingham perspective, the problem is that as the mark given is in relation to that of everyone else in the class, this may not be a fair representation of the student's achievement. As it is not possible to receive a full breakdown of marks, it is very difficult to ascertain the quality of the student's work.

Full grading information on HKU website.

Grade conversion

| HKU |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| 4.0 | A+ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| 4.0 | A | $72-74$ | 1 st |
| 3.7 | A- | $70-71$ | 1 st |
| 3.3 | B+ | $68-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| 3.0 | B | $65-67$ | $2: 1$ |
| 2.7 | B- | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| 2.3 | C+ | $58-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| 2.0 | C | $55-57$ | $2: 2$ |
| 1.7 | C- | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| 1.3 | D+ | $46-49$ | 3rd |
| 1.0 | D | $40-45$ | 3rd |
| 0 | F | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Japan

Kyoto University

Grading scale

| GPA | Grade | Percentage | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.3 | S | 96-100 | Outstanding |
| 4.0 | A | 85-95 | Especially excellent/excellent |
| 3.0 | B | 75-84 | Good |
| 2.0 | C | 65-74 | Fair. <br> Has passed the acceptance criteria, the effect of learning is recognized. |
| 1.0 | D | 60-60 | Pass. <br> Passing standards, but more effort is required |
| 0 | F | 0-59 | Fail |

Grade conversion

| Kyoto |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| 4.3 | S | $75+$ | 1st |
| 4.0 | A | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| 3.0 | B | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| 2.0 | C | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| 1.0 | D | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| 0 | F | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Waseda University

## Grading scale

| Grade | Percentage |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A+ | $90+$ | Pass |
| A | $80-89$ |  |
| B | $70-79$ |  |
|  | $60-69$ |  |
| F | $50-59$ | Fail |
| G | $0-49$ |  |

Full grading information on Waseda website.

Grade conversion

| Waseda |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| $90+$ | A + | $70+$ | 1 st |
| $80-89$ | A | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| $70-79$ | B | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| $60-69$ | C | $40-49$ | 3rd |
| $50-59$ | F | $30-39$ | Fail |
| $0-49$ | G | $0-29$ | Fail |

## Singapore

National University of Singapore
Grading scale

| Grade | Grade <br> point |
| :--- | :--- |
| A+ | 5.0 |
| A | 5.0 |
| A- | 4.5 |
| B+ | 4.0 |
| B | 3.5 |
| B- | 3.0 |
| C+ | 2.5 |
| C | 2.0 |
| D+ | 1.5 |
| D | 1.0 |
| F | 0 |

## Bell curve

When required, the National University of Singapore uses a grading mechanism referred to as the bell curve: a statistical method of grade moderation completed based on a normal distribution. The mean and the standard deviation of the normal distribution will be different for different modules provided by different schools depending on the performance for that specific cohort of students. This is a controversial practice but NUS as a university seems to feel that it enhances the competitive nature of students.

The advice given by the NUS International Relations Office is that students should contact the specific professor responsible in person whilst they are still at NUS if they are unhappy with a grade they have received. There is an appeal process but it is rare that a grade will be revised. It may be possible however for NUS to provide a summary of the bell curve for a particular class which may be of assistance when converting the grade.

Further information regarding the bell curve at NUS can be found at:
http://blog.nus.edu.sg/provost/2012/01/20/the-bell-curve/

## Grade conversion

|  | NUS | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| 5.0 | A+ | $80+$ | 1 st |
| 5.0 | A | $75-79$ | 1 st |
| 4.5 | A- | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| 4.0 | B+ | $67-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| 3.5 | B | $64-66$ | $2: 1$ |
| 3.0 | B- | $60-63$ | $2: 1$ |
| 2.5 | C+ | $57-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| 2.0 | C | $50-56$ | $2: 2$ |
| 1.5 | D+ | $46-49$ | 3 rd |
| 1.0 | D | $40-45$ | 3rd |
| 0 | F | $0-39$ | Fail |

## South Korea

## Korea University

## Grading Scale

| Grade | Grade <br> point |
| :--- | :--- |
| A+ | $95-100$ |
| A | $90-94$ |
| B+ | $85-89$ |
| B | $80-84$ |
| C+ | $75-79$ |
| C | $70-74$ |
| D+ | $65-69$ |
| D | $60-64$ |
| F (Fail) | 59 |

$70 \%$ attendance is a minimum requirement in order to obtain a grade.
Full grading information on Korea's website.

Grade conversion

| Korea |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| $95-100$ | A+ | $75+$ | 1 st |
| $90-94$ | A | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| $85-89$ | B+ | $65-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| $80-84$ | B | $60-64$ | $2: 1$ |
| $75-79$ | C+ | $55-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| $70-74$ | C | $50-54$ | $2: 2$ |
| $65-69$ | D+ | $45-49$ | 3 3rd |
| $60-64$ | D | $40-44$ | 3rd |
| 59 | F | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Yonsei University

Grading scale

| Grade | Grade <br> point |
| :--- | :--- |
| A+ | 4.3 |
| A | 4.0 |
| A- | 3.7 |
| B+ | 3.3 |
| B | 3.0 |
| B- | 2.7 |
| C+ | 2.3 |
| C | 2.0 |
| C- | 1.7 |
| D+ | 1.3 |
| D | 1.0 |
| D- | 0.7 |
| Fail | $<0.7$ |

Please note that Yonsei University has a strict attendance policy, as any student who is absent from a course for more than $1 / 3$ of the semester will be issued an "F" regardless of his/her achievement in the course.

Full grading information on Yonsei's website.

| Yonsei |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GPA | Letter grade | Percentage | Classification |
| A+ | 4.3 | $85+$ | 1 st |
| A | 4.0 | $75-84$ | 1 st |
| A- | 3.7 | $70-74$ | 1 st |
| B+ | 3.3 | $67-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| B | 3.0 | $64-66$ | $2: 1$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $60-63$ | $2: 1$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $57-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| C | 2.0 | $54-56$ | $2: 2$ |
| C- | 1.7 | $50-53$ | $2: 2$ |
| D+ | 1.3 | $47-49$ | 3rd |
| D | 1.0 | $44-46$ | 3rd |
| D- | 0.7 | $40-43$ | 3rd |

## Europe

## Amsterdam University College

## Grading scale

| Letter <br> grade | Grade <br> point | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A+ | 4.0 | $90-100$ |
| A | 4.0 | $82.50-89.99$ |
| A- | 3.7 | $77.50-82.49$ |
| B+ | 3.3 | $72.50-77.49$ |
| B | 3.0 | $69-72.49$ |
| B- | 2.7 | $66.50-68.99$ |
| C+ | 2.3 | $63.50-66.49$ |
| C | 2.0 | $58.50-63.49$ |
| C- | 1.7 | $55-58.49$ |
| D+ | 1.3 | $53-54.99$ |
| D | 1.0 | $51-52.99$ |
| D- | 0.7 | $45-50.99$ |
| F | 0 | $0-44.99$ |

Full grading information on AUC website.

Grade conversion

| AUC |  | Nottingham |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Letter | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A+, A | 4.0 | 75+ | 1st |
| A- | 3.7 | 70-74 | 1st |
| B+ | 3.3 | 65-69 | 2:1 |
| B | 3.0 | 60-64 | 2:1 |
| B- | 2.7 | 55-59 | 2:2 |
| C+ | 2.3 | 50-54 | 2:2 |
| C | 2.0 | 45-49 | 3rd |
| C- | 1.7 | 40-44 | 3rd |
| D+ | 1.3 | 30-39 | Fail |
| D | 1.0 | 21-29 | Fail |
| D- | 0.7 | 1-20 | Fail |
| F | 0 | 0 | Fail |

## University of Amsterdam

## Grading scale

| Letter grade | Grade point | Percen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A+ | 9.5 | 9.0-10 | Excellent |
| A | 8.5 | 8.5-89 |  |
|  |  | 8.3-8.4 | Good |
| A- | 8.0 | 8.0-8.2 |  |
|  |  | 7.8-7.9 |  |
| B+ | 7.5 | 7.5-7.7 |  |
|  |  | 7.3-7.4 | Satisfactory |
| B | 7.1 | 7.0-7.2 |  |
| B- | 6.8 | 6.7-6.9 |  |
| C+ | 6.5 | 6.5-6.6 |  |
|  |  | 6.4 | Sufficient |
| C | 6.0 | 6.0-6.3 |  |
|  |  | 5.5-5.9 |  |
| D | 5.0 | 4.6-5.4 | Fail |
| F | 4.0 | 1.0-4.5 |  |

Full grading information on Amsterdam's website.

Grade conversion

| UVA |  | Nottingham |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| Excellent | 9.0-10 | 75+ | 1st |
|  | 8.5-8.9 | 70-74 |  |
| Good | 8.3-8.4 | 68-69 | 2:1 |
|  | 8.0-8.2 | 66-67 |  |
|  | 7.8-7.9 | 63-65 |  |
|  | 7.5-7.7 | 60-62 |  |
| Satisfactory | 7.3-7.4 | 58-59 | 2:2 |
|  | 7.0-7.2 | 56-57 |  |
|  | 6.7-6.9 | 53-55 |  |
|  | 6.5-6.6 | 50-52 |  |
| Sufficient | 6.4 | 49 | 3rd |
|  | 6.0-6.3 | 45-48 |  |
|  | 5.5-5.9 | 40-44 |  |
| Fail | 4.6-5.4 | 35-39 | Fail |
|  | 1.0-4.5 | 0-34 |  |

Lund University

Grading conversion to be confirmed.

## University College Dublin

Grading scale

| Calculation <br> points <br> for aggregating <br> component grades | Module scale <br> for deriving module grade and grade <br> points |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | Calculation <br> point | Lower | Upper | Grade | Grade <br> point |
| A+ | 20.5 | $>20$ | 21 | A+ | 4.20 |
| A | 19.5 | $>19$ | $<20$ | A | 4.00 |
| A- | 18.5 | $>18$ | $<19$ | A- | 3.80 |
| B+ | 17.5 | $>17$ | $<18$ | B+ | 3.60 |
| B | 16.5 | $>16$ | $<17$ | B | 3.40 |
| B- | 15.5 | $>15$ | $<16$ | B- | 3.20 |
| C+ | 14.5 | $>14$ | $<15$ | C+ | 3.00 |
| C | 13.5 | $>13$ | $<14$ | C | 2.80 |
| C- | 12.5 | $>12$ | $<13$ | C- | 2.60 |
| D+ | 11.5 | $>11$ | $<12$ | D+ | 2.40 |
| D | 10.5 | $>10$ | $<11$ | D | 2.20 |
| D- | 9.5 | $>9$ | $<10$ | D- | 2.00 |
| E+ | 8.5 | $>6$ | $<9$ | FM+ | 0.00 |
| E | 7.5 | $>3$ | $<6$ | FM | 0.00 |
| E- | 6.5 | $>0$ | $<3$ | FM- | 0.00 |
| F+ | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | NM | 0.00 |
| F | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | ABS | 0.00 |
| F- | 3.5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Full |  |  |  |  |  |

Full grading information on UCD website.

## Grading descriptions

Grade conversions

| UCD |  | Nottingham |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | GPA | Percentage | Classification |
| A+, A, A- | $3.80-4.20$ | $70+$ | 1 st |
| B+, B, B- | $3.20-3.60$ | $60-69$ | $2: 1$ |
| C+, C, C- | $2.60-3.00$ | $50-59$ | $2: 2$ |
| D+, D | $2.20-2.40$ | $44-49$ | 3 rd |
| D- | 2.00 | $40-43$ | 3rd |
| E+ and <br> below | 0.00 | $0-39$ | Fail |

## Grading scale

| Number | Grade | Description <br> 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.5 | Excellent | Outstanding performance with no shortcomings. A particularly <br> outstanding achievement. |
| 5 | Gery good | Outstanding performance with minor shortcomings. An <br> achievement that surpasses largely the average standard. <br> Generally good performance with minor shortcomings. An <br> achievement that surpasses the average standard. |
| 4.5 | Satisfactory | Generally good performance with multiple shortcomings. An <br> achievement that fulfills the average standards. |
| 4 | Passable performance with significant shortcomings. An <br> achievement that fulfills the average standard despite <br> deficiencies. |  |
| 3 | Failed | Some more work required before the credit can be awarded. |
| 2 | Failed | Considerable further work is required. |
| 1 | Very bad performance, total absence or failure to take any <br> exams or do any work. |  |

Full grading information on Zurich website.

Grade conversion

| Zurich | Nottingham |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Percentage | Classification |
| 6 | 75+ | 1st |
| 5.5 | 70-74 | 1st |
| 5 | 60-69 | 2:1 |
| 4.5 | 50-59 | 2:2 |
| 4 | 40-49 | 3rd |
| 3 | 35-39 | Fail |
| 2 | 25-34 | Fail |
| 1 | 0 | Fail |

